

INTERNATIONAL PIPE BURSTING ASSOCIATION

Guideline for Pipe Bursting



Prepared for:

The International Pipe Bursting Association (IPBA)

www.nassco.org/about_nassco/an_div_ipba.html

Division of NASSCO

11521 Cronridge Drive, Suite J

Owings Mills, MD 21117

www.nassco.org

January 2012

DISCLAIMER

These guidelines were prepared by the International Pipe Bursting Association IPBA, a division of NASSCO, and peer reviewed by industry professionals. These guidelines are not specific to any one product and should be considered a guideline only. Conditions for use may require additions, deletions, or amendments to these guidelines so as to conform to project specific site conditions. IPBA, a division of NASSCO, assumes no liability as to content, use and application of these guidelines.




Table of Contents

Preface	1
IPBA Mission	2
Executive Summary	2
History of Pipe Bursting.....	3
General Description of Pipe Bursting	3
Main Classes of Pipe Bursting	4
Pneumatic Pipe Bursting	4
Static Pipe Bursting	5
Qualifications	5
Comparison of Pipe Bursting to Other Replacement and/or Rehabilitation Methods	6
Comparison with Traditional Open Cut Replacement	6
Comparison with Other Rehabilitation Methods.....	7
Design Considerations.....	8
Range of Applications	8
Classifications of Difficulty and Increase of New Pipe Diameter.....	8
Conditions that Limit the Favorability of Pipe Bursting.....	10
Geotechnical Conditions.....	10
Groundwater Conditions.....	11
Effect of Pipe Bursting on Surrounding Environment	11
Positioning of the Replacement Pipe.....	11
Disposition of Pipe Fragments	12
Ground Displacements	12
Effect on Nearby Utilities.....	13
Ground Vibration.....	14
Existing Pipe	14
Material of Existing Pipes	14
Depth and Profile.....	16
Surrounding Utilities	16
Other Factors	17
Replacement Pipe	17
Construction Considerations	18
Typical Construction Process	18
Insertion and Receiving Points Pits.....	20
Insertion Point.....	20

Receiving Point.....	21
Service Connection Points.....	21
Number of Pits and Length of Bursting	22
Replacement Pipe Preparation	22
Equipment Installation	22
Bursting Operation	23
Reconnection of Services	23
Manhole Preparation	23
Testing of the Replacement Pipe.....	24
Potential Conditions Requiring Remedial Action.....	24
Green Technology	27
Conclusions	28
References	29

Preface

Pipe bursting is a mature and widely used trenchless method for renewal of deteriorated and undersized gas, water, sewer, utility conduits and other pipelines throughout the world. The need for updated guidelines in this area was deemed important by the IPBA Leadership Team and the IPBA wanted to lend its collective expertise to the subject.

These guidelines describe current pipe bursting practices used by engineers and construction professionals and have been prepared to assist owners, designers and contractors involved in pipeline replacement and/or rehabilitation projects to evaluate the capabilities of pipe bursting an existing trenchless pipe replacement method.

These guidelines are based on information obtained from technical papers, existing guidelines and specifications, case studies, manufacturers' literature, and other related information, as well as from comments and reviews made by industry experts and the IPBA Leadership Team.

The following industry representatives are especially thanked for their reviews and comment:

Matt Timberlake

Ted Berry Company Inc. and Ted Berry Trenchless Technologies Team LLC

Mike Woodcock

Portland Utilities Construction Company - PUCC

Andy Mayer

Murphy Pipelines Inc. and AJM Pipelines

Troy Freed

Utility Services Authority, LLC

Michael Rocco

AUI Inc.

Brian Metcalf and the staff of

Hammerhead Trenchless Equipment, an Earth Tool Company, LLC

George Mallakis and the staff of

TT Technologies, Inc.

Gerry Muenchmeyer

NASSCO Technical Director and Muenchmeyer Associates LLC

Eric Nicholson and the staff of

Underground Solutions Inc.

Ray Sterling

Professor Emeritus at Louisiana Tech University

IPBA Mission

The mission of the International Pipe Bursting Association (IPBA) is to advance the acceptance of pipe bursting as the trenchless technology of choice for replacement of failing and under capacity pipelines throughout North America through collaboration of industry professionals, educational efforts, training opportunities, sound standards, marketing efforts highlighting the practical benefits of pipe bursting, and governmental support of initiatives impacting utility construction.

Executive Summary

Underground service utilities in many North American cities have been in place for over 100 years. While many existing systems have functioned well beyond their reasonably anticipated service life, a large proportion of underground systems are significantly deteriorated and need costly maintenance and repair. Common problems involve corrosion and deterioration of pipe materials, failure or leakage of pipe joints, and reduction of flow due to mineral deposits and debris build up inside the pipe. Damage to existing pipes can also occur by ground movements due to adjacent construction activity, uneven settlement or other ground instability. This leads to infiltration and inflow (I&I), pipe failures, pipe blockages, and SSO's (Sanitary Sewer Overflows) in sewer systems. In water systems, it leads to flow and pressure reductions, persistent leakage, burst pipes, and poor water quality. Additionally, in gas pipelines, undetected leakage can lead to the possibility of explosions. These problems tend to increase with the age of the network where maintaining this large network of underground sewer, water, and gas pipelines is difficult and costly. The above problems are compounded by the significant negative impacts (of open cut repair or replacement projects) on the daily life, traffic, and commerce of the area served by and along the pipeline in question.

Pipe bursting is a well-established trenchless method that is widely used for the replacement of deteriorated pipes with a new pipe of the same or larger diameter. Pipe bursting is an economic pipe replacement alternative that reduces social disturbance to business and residents when it is compared to the open cut technique or pipeline rehabilitation techniques.

Pipe bursting is especially effective if the existing pipe has inadequate capacity and has substantial structural defects preventing other trenchless methods from being utilized. This method can be used advantageously to reduce damage to pavements and disruptions to traffic, hence reducing the social costs associated with pipeline replacement, as well as providing a significantly smaller environmental footprint. The pipe bursting method results in an existing pipe being replaced with a new factory manufactured pipe in the same location that will have the same or larger inner diameter. This method is considered a favorable alternative to other trenchless rehabilitation methods such as CIPP that relines an existing pipe with a liner that conforms to the profile of the existing pipe ID while reducing it by the thickness of the lining material installed. Pipe bursting is often used in comparative analysis with other rehabilitation methods however it should be considered a replacement method as a new pipe is installed.

History of Pipe Bursting

Pipe bursting is a well-established method for trenchless replacement of pipe throughout the world. Pipe bursting was first developed in the UK in the late 1970s by D. J. Ryan & Sons in conjunction with British Gas, for the replacement of small-diameter, 3- and 4-inch cast iron gas mains. This method was patented in the UK in 1981 and in the United States in 1986; these patents expired in April 2005. Since the late 1970s pipe bursting has grown into a mature market internationally with significant potential for continued growth in the North American water, sewer, and gas markets.

The National Association of Sewer Service Companies (NASSCO) was established in 1976 and is the oldest such association with a trenchless focus. The IPBA (International Pipe Bursting Association) was founded in 2000 as a division of NASSCO with the purpose of developing standards for the use of pipe bursting in the sewer market in the United States. A re-organization of the association in 2010 brought together professionals from all aspects of the pipe bursting industry who developed a strategic plan to collaboratively promote pipe bursting throughout sewer, water, gas, and other underground utility markets.

General Description of Pipe Bursting

Pipe bursting is defined as a trenchless replacement method in which an existing pipe is broken either by brittle fracture or by splitting, using an internal mechanically applied force applied by a bursting tool. At the same time, a new pipe of the same or larger diameter is pulled in replacing the existing pipe. The back end of the bursting head is connected to the new pipe and the front end is connected to a cable or pulling rod. The new pipe and bursting head are launched from the insertion pit, and the cable or pulling rod is pulled from the receiving pit. The energy (or power source) which moves the bursting tool forward to break the existing pipe comes from pulling cable or rods, hydraulic power to the head, or pneumatic power to the head, depending on the bursting system design. This energy (or power) is converted to a fracturing force on the existing pipe breaking it and temporarily expanding the diameter of the cavity. The bursting head is pulled through the pipe debris creating a temporary cavity and pulling behind it the new pipe from the insertion pit.


The leading or nose portion of the bursting head is often smaller in diameter than the existing pipe, to maintain alignment and to ensure a uniform burst. The base of the bursting head is larger than the inside diameter of the existing pipe to be burst, to fracture it. It is also slightly larger than the outside diameter of the replacement pipe, to reduce friction on the new pipe and to provide space for maneuvering the pipe.

Main Classes of Pipe Bursting

Pipe bursting systems are primarily classified into two classes: (1) **pneumatic pipe bursting** and (2) **static pipe bursting**, which is based on the type of bursting tool used. The basic difference among these systems is in the source of energy and the method of breaking the old pipe and some consequent differences in operation. The selection of a specific replacement method depends on geotechnical conditions, degree of upsizing required, type of new pipe, construction of the existing pipeline, depth and profile of the existing pipeline, availability of experienced contractors and equipment, risk assessment, and other possible site specific issues.

Pneumatic Pipe Bursting

In the pneumatic system, the bursting tool is a soil displacement hammer driven by compressed air. An expander is fitted to either the front or near the rear of the pneumatic soil displacement hammer. The pneumatic hammer assembly is launched into the host pipe via an insertion pit. The tool is connected to a constant tension winch located at the receiving point. The constant tension of the winch keeps the tool and expander in contact with the unbroken section of pipe and centered within the host pipe and when combined with the percussive power of the hammer helps maintain the hammer and expander inside the existing pipe. The percussive action of the hammering cone-shaped head is similar to hammering a nail into the wall; each hammer stroke pushes the nail a short distance. It cracks and breaks the existing pipe, with each stroke. The expander combined with the percussive action push the fragments and the surrounding soil away providing space for the new pipe. Reversible tools are available that allow the pneumatic hammer to back itself out through the installed pipe saving the expense of a reception pit. Once started, the burst continues to the destination manhole/reception pit where the tool/expander assembly is retrieved. The process continues with little operator intervention until the head reaches the pulling shaft at which point it is separated from the new pipe. In regards to pneumatic pipe bursting operations considerations should be made for the noise generated by the air compressor and pneumatic hammer. Generally the noise is concentrated near the open end of the replacement pipe due to the release of pressure associated with the pneumatic action through the new pipe.



Typical small diameter (6"-12") pneumatic pipe burst utilizing the "manhole exit" method.

Static Pipe Bursting

In the static pull system, no hammering action is used, as a large pull force is applied to the cone-shaped expansion head through a pulling rod assembly or cable inserted through the existing pipe. The cone transfers the horizontal pulling force into a radial force - breaking the existing pipe and expanding the cavity providing space for the new pipe. With the rod method steel rods are inserted into the existing pipe from the pulling shaft. The rods are connected together using different types of connections. When the rods reach the insertion shaft, the bursting head is connected to the rods and the new pipe is connected to the rear of the head. A hydraulic unit in the pulling shaft pulls the rods one rod at a time, and the rod sections are removed. The bursting head and the new pipe are pulled with the rod or the cable fracturing the existing pipe and pushing the debris to the surrounding soil. The process continues until the bursting head reaches the pulling shaft, where it is separated from the new pipe. If a cable or winch is used instead of a rod assembly, the pulling process continues with minimum interruption, but the force available for the operation is less. Roller blade cutting wheel assemblies allow bursting of non-fracturing types of pipe such as steel and ductile iron water pipes and ductile iron repair clamps. Due to the use of a bursting head or a roller blade cutting wheel assembly, static pipe bursting systems can burst both fracturable and non-fracturable host pipe materials. Static pipe bursting technology encompasses the "pipe splitting" method which is essentially the addition of a "splitter" or "slitter" in front of the pipe burst expander head that splits the existing pipe.



Typical small diameter static pipe bursting operation.

Qualifications

The IPBA recognizes that growth of the pipe bursting market in North America is reliant on continued success of projects, expanded knowledge and experience of the utility system owners and their consultants, trained field staff that are able to recognize and address issues rapidly, and by responsible use of pipe bursting technology.

It is generally accepted that the contracting authority will use a baseline for determining contractor pre-qualification based on proven experience of projects of similar type, size, and class of difficulty. Standard minimum requirements commonly used are:

- (1) Verification of training by the pipe bursting system manufacturer utilized stating that the operators have been fully trained in the use of the pipe bursting system by an authorized representative of the equipment manufacturer.
- (2) Verification by the pipe supplier of training in the proper method for handling, joining, and installing the new pipe.
- (3) A minimum of 50,000 lf of successful installation of pipe of a similar class (degree of difficulty), size, and scope.

Comparison of Pipe Bursting to Other Replacement and/or Rehabilitation Methods

Comparison with Traditional Open Cut Replacement

Open cut replacement may be a preferred option of pipe renewal when the pipeline is shallow and the trenching does not create inconvenience. However, under many conditions, pipe bursting has substantial advantages over open cut replacements.

The advantages are especially notable in pipeline replacement for deeper lines, where the greater depth of lines increases the cost of open cut replacement through extra excavation, shoring, and dewatering, etc., while it has minimal effect on the cost of pipe bursting. Additionally as the underground utility network becomes even more congested through the advancement and expansion of services like gas, high speed cable, and fiber optic the need to preserve space underground for future growth becomes a necessity. By utilizing the existing utility corridor new easements are not required and construction can take place through a previously opened trench. A standard practice when utilities are laid in a new location is the grouting of the “abandoned” pipeline in place with a flowable style grout, which will only complicate future construction underground.

Additional advantages of pipe bursting over the open cut replacement are indirect cost savings, due to (1) less traffic disturbance, (2) shorter time for replacement, (3) less business interruption, (4) less environmental disturbance, (5) reduced surface paving expenses, and other social benefits. Pipe bursting usually produces less ground disturbance than open replacement. In open cuts, there is stress relief in the ground as the trench is dug, and the unconfined ground moves inward and downward. Also, service lines parallel to the trench displace laterally and downward, while service lines crossing the trench sag. Shoring can reduce these movements, but usually does not prevent them. Open cut replacement that involves cutting through a road pavement structure can reduce the life of the pavement structure through backfill settlement in addition to the adjacent ground movements. Social costs such as traffic and business disruption, length of time and mess for open cut, reduced pavement life, environmental mitigation and others all can increase the total effective cost of open cut construction. Even when bursting costs the same or slightly more than open cut in terms of contract price, the decrease in total effective costs compared to open cut makes bursting very attractive.

Comparison with Other Rehabilitation Methods

Trenchless technology is a type of subsurface construction work that requires little or no surface excavation and no continuous trenches. It is a rapidly growing sector of the construction and civil engineering industry; and can be defined as "a family of methods, materials, and equipment capable of being used for the installation of new or replacement or rehabilitation of existing underground infrastructure with minimal disruption to surface traffic, business, and other activities." Trenchless rehabilitation methods are generally more cost-effective than traditional dig and replace methods.

The pipe bursting method is proposed as a favorable alternative to sewer and water rehabilitation methods such as CIPP that relines an existing pipe with a liner that conforms to the profile of the existing pipe ID while reducing it by the thickness of the lining material installed. While relining methods offer no-dig rehabilitation of an existing pipe they follow the grade and profile of the existing pipe, pipe bursting can install a new pipe with a true profile (design ID). This ability can be advantageous, if used to correct offset joints, or deflections in the existing pipe.

One significant advantage of pipe bursting over other trenchless rehabilitation methods, such as cured-in-place (CIPP) pipe, formed-in-place-pipe (FIPP) pipe, sliplining, spirally wound pipes, etc., is the ability to upsize existing underground pipelines, thus increasing hydraulic capacity by use of a trenchless method. Pipe bursting is the only trenchless method that can increase the hydraulic capacity of a pipe by installing a new pipe of the same or larger inner diameter. In mainline sewer and water main pipe bursting additional reduction of I&I from the sewer service connections and reduced water loss from water service connections are added benefits as they are reinstated manually with a new hard connection to the mainline, whereas CIPP or other methods will only reinstate the existing service connection from the main robotically or by other means from inside the mainline. Long term benefits of reconstruction of the main and service connection can be significant when comparing trenchless rehabilitation methods to a trenchless replacement method like pipe bursting.

Cement Mortar Lining (CML) and Polymeric Linings are methods that allow water utility systems owners to clean and reline existing Cast, Steel, or Ductile Iron pipe with a centrifugally cast cement mortar or epoxy lining which restores the original pipe hydraulic capacity and reduces further corrosion, however these methods offer little structural support of the aging pipe. Extensive cleaning of the pipe to remove encrustation is required whereas with a static pipe burst system the solid rod design allows the insertion through heavily encrusted pipes with little or no cleaning thus reducing the preparation cost and downtime of the system. Pipe bursting will allow a new pipe to be installed that can have a larger diameter thus dramatically increasing the pipe flow characteristics and potential operating pressure. The newly installed water main can then undergo pressure tests up to 150psi dependent on new pipe type and pressure class installed, thus providing a long term structural pipe replacement.

Pre-chlorinated pipe bursting is an alternative to setting up temporary water service lines and allows for the newly prepared pipe to be pressure tested, disinfected, and accepted before installation allowing rapid installation and reconnection, often in one day.

Pipe bursting may be the only choice for trenchless improvement of an existing pipe in very poor structural condition or if other rehabilitation methods are rejected as unsuitable. Some partially

collapsed pipes may not be suitable for pipe bursting. However, measures can be taken to mitigate this problem, if the number and length of collapses are isolated. If the winch cable or pulling rods can be inserted from receiving point to insertion point, the line can usually be pipe burst, unless other issues prevent the application.

Design Considerations

Design considerations evolve from many factors including but not limited to ground conditions, groundwater conditions, degree of upsizing required, construction and depth of the existing pipeline, adjacent utilities, etc. This following discusses these issues and their relevance, and gives some general guidance about the selection of replacement pipe, bursting length, etc. As with any type of underground utility construction certain factors may limit the feasibility or effective use of a technology. Some items may limit pipe bursting significantly in feasibility from a technical aspect while others may limit its feasibility financially. It is important to know how to identify limiting factors and compare them to the relative effects on a specific project in the selection of methods process.

Range of Applications

Pipe bursting can be applied on a wide range of pipe sizes and types, in a variety of soil and site conditions. Pipe bursting is used internationally for replacement of sewer, water, gas, storm, and other underground conduits with the size of pipes replaced by pipe bursting typically ranging from 2” ID to 36” ID however advancements are being made for both smaller and larger pipes.

Classifications of Difficulty and Increase of New Pipe Diameter

The IPBA classifies pipe bursting work into four classifications A-B-C-D. These classifications are meant to be used as a general guideline in the design and preconstruction phase of an online replacement by pipe bursting. The success of the pipe bursting project is dependent on the qualifications of the project team, geotechnical conditions, existing pipe material and condition, burst length, depth of pipe, and degree by which the pipe diameter will be increased.


	Degree of Difficulty	Depth of Pipe (ft)	Existing Pipe ID (in)	New Pipe Diameter Comparative to Existing Pipe	Burst Length (ft)	Original Trench Width	Soil Type	
IPBA CLASSIFICATION	A	Minimal	<12	2 – 12	Size on Size	0 – 350	Relatively wide trench compared to expander head outside diameter.	Compressible soils outside trench (loose sand, gravel, soft clay).
	B	Moderate	>12 to <18	12 – 18	Single Upsize	350 – 500	Trench width less than 4" wider than the expander head outside diameter.	Moderately compressible soils outside trench (medium dense to dense sand, medium to stiff clay).
	C	Comprehensive	>18 +	20 – 36	Double / Triple Upsize	500 – 1,000	Incompressible soils outside trench.	Constricted trench geometry (width less than or equal to outside diameter of burst head).
	D	Developmental						

Whereas pipe bursting has the ability to replace an existing pipe with a new pipe that has the same or larger diameter the following terminology is used in regards to the comparison from the existing pipe diameter and the newly installed pipe diameter. The "degree of upsize" is the difference between the existing pipe inside diameter (ID) and the newly installed pipe outside diameter (OD). (Actual ID will be dependent on type of newly installed pipe, pressure rating, and nominal diameter). Pipe ID and OD calculations are generally used in reference to nominal pipe size increases that are standard by utility type and/or pipe type. For example in sanitary sewer pipe applications conventional systems utilize pipe IDs of (in inches) 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, etc. Increase in required forces to complete a pipe burst with an upsize is relative to the volumetric displacement that occurs as the soil is displaced and compressed.

Size on Size - Refers to replacing an existing pipe with a new pipe of similar ID. An example would be the replacement of an existing 8" VCP with a new 8" Thermoplastic pipe.

Class A Pipe Burst
8" to 8" (size on size)


Expander OD: 10.35"
New pipe OD: 8.625"
Connection center point
Existing pipe ID: 8.00"



Single Upsize - Refers to increasing an existing pipe with a new pipe that has an ID larger by approximately one nominal size. An example would be the replacement of an existing 8" VCP with a new 10" Thermoplastic pipe.

Class B Pipe Burst
8" to 10" (single upsize)


Expander OD: 12.90"
New pipe OD: 10.75"
Connection center point
Existing pipe ID: 8.00"




Double Upsize - Refers to increasing an existing pipe with a new pipe that has an ID larger by approximately two nominal sizes. An example would be the replacement of an existing 8" VCP with a new 12" Thermoplastic pipe.

Class C Pipe Burst
8" to 12" (Double Upsize)

Expander OD: 15.30"
New pipe OD: 12.75"
Connection center point
Existing pipe ID: 8.00"



Triple Upsize - Refers to increasing an existing pipe with a new pipe that has an ID larger by approximately three nominal sizes. An example would be the replacement of an existing 8" VCP with a new 15" Thermoplastic pipe.



Developmental or Class D Upsize - Refers to increasing an existing pipe with a new pipe that has an ID larger than a Triple Upsize. Increasing pipe size by more than a "Triple upsize" is a feasible approach in certain conditions but must be carefully planned as the forces to expand the soil to allow the new pipe OD will be much greater and risk of surface heave is increased dramatically dependent on depth and actual geotechnical conditions.

Conditions that Limit the Favorability of Pipe Bursting

Geotechnical Conditions

As with any underground utility project it is essential that accurate geotechnical data be provided as it is critical to design of the pipe burst system and project approach. In geotechnical engineering, soils are considered a three-phase material composed of: rock or mineral particles, water and air. The voids of a soil, the spaces in between mineral particles, contain the water and air. The engineering properties of soils are affected by four main factors: the predominant size of the mineral particles, the type of mineral particles, the grain size distribution, and the relative quantities of mineral, water and air present in the soil matrix.

The most favorable ground conditions for pipe bursting projects are where the ground surrounding the pipe can be compacted readily by the bursting operation as it is displaced. This will limit the outward ground displacements to a zone close to the pipe alignment. It is also favorable if the soil surrounding the pipe will allow the expanded hole to remain open while the replacement pipe is being installed. This will lower the drag on the replacement pipe and thus lower the tensile stresses to which the pipe is exposed during installation.

Somewhat more complex ground conditions for pipe bursting involve densely compacted soils and backfills, rock trenches, soils below the water table and soils that expand in volume as they are sheared, e.g. angular sands. Each of these soil conditions tends to increase the force required for the bursting operation and to increase the zone of influence of the ground movements. For most soil conditions, it is simply necessary to provide the required power to effect the burst, displace the soil and pull the replacement pipe in over the length of the burst and to consider the potential effect of the ground displacements and vibrations on adjacent utilities and structures.

When the soil provides a high friction drag on the pipe and the replacement length is long enough to generate high tensile forces on the replacement pipe, bentonite or polymer lubrication

based mixes may be injected into the annular space behind the bursting head to help keep the hole open and to reduce the frictional drag on the replacement pipe. If there has been erosion of the soil around the pipe, the bursting head and the following pipe will tend to displace toward the void or lower density region. If there is a hard soil layer or rock close to the pipe, the bursting head will tend to displace towards the softer soil. In shallow conditions, the ground will displace mostly upwards towards the ground surface and the new pipe will tend to match invert with the old pipe. If the pipe is deep relative to its diameter, the ground will tend to displace more radially around the old pipe and the new pipe will tend to be concentric with the old pipe. If the conditions change substantially along the length of the burst, this may cause some change in the grade and/or alignment of the pipe.

Groundwater Conditions

As with any underground utility construction project the presence of groundwater can increase the difficulty of bursting operations both from a practical and technical standpoint. In certain soil conditions, groundwater can have a buoyant and lubricative effect on the bursting operation, with groundwater flowing towards the open insertion pit and reception pit along the existing trench line; however in certain soil conditions groundwater will cause the annulus to close in quickly behind the expanding head thus increasing pipe drag and theoretically reducing the practical burst lengths. It is essential to have quality geotechnical reports showing the presence of groundwater where known.

During pipe bursting, insertion and receiving pits are preferably kept dry allowing workers to operate equipment and connect the new pipe once installed. Specific requirements for dewatering of the trench should be part of the construction plan including proper discharge of the water removed from the trench. Although dewatering efforts add complexity to a pipe bursting project, when compared to an open cut excavation project that would require dewatering of the entire trench length pipe bursting dewatering plans are often localized to just the insertion, receiving, and service points thus lowering project complexity.

Effect of Pipe Bursting on Surrounding Environment

Positioning of the Replacement Pipe

The replacement pipe naturally follows the line and grade of the original pipe under most conditions. However, the centerline of the replacement pipe rarely matches the centerline of the original pipe. The position of the new pipe generally depends on the soil characteristics, site conditions and installation procedures.

Depending on the degree of upsize, the position of the bursting head relative to the existing pipe can interfere with the bursting operation. For example, for upsizes approaching 100% of the existing pipe ID, consulting with an industry expert is recommended. The forces required to overcome the plowing action are significantly higher than are required when the bursting head is entirely within the pipe. Grade problems with the replacement pipe may be an issue when the pipes are laid on minimum grades and care should be taken to anticipate the ground movements and the replacement pipe position. In particular, the replacement pipe can easily deviate from the original grade near the starting or ending pit. The problem occurs when the new pipe is a stiff

pipe (large diameter, thick wall), and the room in the insertion pit is too small to line it up fully with the original pipe.

Pipe bursting may reduce sags in the existing pipe if the soil conditions around the existing pipe are uniform. However, if there is a soft zone beneath the existing pipe, the new pipe may be driven towards the soft zone and the sag deepened. Longer-than-normal bursting heads (often referred to as pilots) can help to maintain a straighter replacement pipe. A hard soil or rock base beneath the existing pipe may even inhibit the breakage of the underside of the pipe and cause the bursting head to break out at the top of the pipe, moving the replacement pipe substantially outside the envelope of the existing pipe. This problem has been solved in practice by redesigning the bursting head, and adapting it to promote splitting of the base of the existing pipe.

Disposition of Pipe Fragments


The size and shape of the fragments of the existing pipe, and their location and orientation in the soil during and after the bursting process, generally creates pipe fragments of variable sizes. The pipe fragments generally tend to (1) settle at the sides and bottom of the replacement pipe in sand backfill, or (2) locate all around the perimeter of the replacement pipe in silt or clay backfill. The fragments tend to locate somewhat away from the replacement pipe, with a typical separation up to 1/4 inch. This indicates a "soil flow" during the bursting process: the bursting head with its diameter larger than the replacement pipe creates the annular space, which is subsequently filled with the soil.

A common misconception regarding the pipe bursting method is that the existing pipe fragments from cast iron (CI) or vitrified clay pipes (VCP) could cut or damage Thermoplastic pipe during the pull-in operation or in subsequent years of operation. Scratching of the replacement pipe during installation is common but, the problem is generally not serious, the scratching of the replacement pipe can be offset by choosing a higher than minimum pipe wall thickness (Standard Diameter Ratio - SDR) and by the design of the bursting tool. As an example Thermoplastic pipes can be scratched up to 10% of the pipe wall with no impacts on its strength or internal pressure rating.

Ground Displacements

Every bursting procedure is associated with ground displacements. Even when the replacement is carried out size-for-size, soil movements are created because the bursting head has a larger diameter than the replacement pipe. Ground movements are not exclusive to pipe bursting, and they can be significant in open trench replacements of pipes as well. This section explains the general behavior of the ground movements under particular site conditions, reveals what conditions can be of concern, and suggests some minimal requirements for pipe bursting operations. The soil displacements expand from the source through the soil in the direction of the least soil resistance. They are a function of both time and space. The displacements are the greatest during the bursting operation, and they can partially diminish over time after the burst. They generally tend to be localized, and to dissipate rapidly with the distance from the source.

Pipe Bursting: Typical Compaction



Ground displacements depend primarily on:

- (1) Degree of upsizing. The volumetric displacement which is a calculation based on the difference between the ID of the existing pipe and the OD of the expander head being used
- (2) Type and compaction level of the existing soil around the pipe.
- (3) Original trench design
- (4) Depth of bursting.

In a relatively homogeneous soil with no close rigid boundaries, the displacements are likely to be directed upwards at smaller depths while at increased depths they are expected to have more uniform direction.

It is a combination of many factors that determines whether the surface will heave or settle. If the existing soil is loose sand or relatively new trench backfill which is still settling, the bursting process can act to further settle the existing soil. Otherwise, if the soil is well compacted and the pipe not very deep, the bursting process is likely to create a surface heave, especially when significantly upsizing the existing pipe.

Effect on Nearby Utilities

Ground movements during the pipe bursting operation may damage nearby pipes or structures. Brittle pipes are the most susceptible to serious damage. Mechanical joints on pipes can easily leak, when disturbed by ground movements. The response of the adjacent pipe to the disturbance from the bursting operation depends on the position of the pipe relative to the direction of bursting. A parallel adjacent pipe is subject to transitory disturbance, as the bursting operation is progressing. If the adjacent pipe is diagonally crossing the line of bursting, it undergoes longitudinal bending as it is pushed away from the bursting line. Therefore it is critical that accurate utility locations are provided prior to the pipe bursting operation for all pipelines within the path of the burst.

The severity of disturbance on the adjacent pipe depends on the type of soil. If the pipes are located in the weak soil (backfill which has not been well compacted and is still below the level of compaction of the surrounding ground), the load transfer is less significant than through a

strong, incompressible soil. In order to avoid individual study in each pipe bursting project, some safety guidance has to be followed to ensure protection of pipes in proximity of pipe bursting operation. As a general rule, both horizontal and vertical distance between the pipe to be burst and the existing adjacent pipe should be at least two diameters of the replacement pipe. The prerequisite in avoiding damage to adjacent utilities is to know their existence and location prior to the bursting. In addition to surface utility location techniques, a keyhole type vacuum excavation can be used to locate the utility lines in the zone of influence and verify their clearance from the pipe to be burst.

Ground Vibration

Pipe bursting can create to some extent vibrations of soil particles in the ground however it is unlikely to damage the nearby utilities or structures if they are at a distance of more than a few feet from the bursting head. The vibration levels due to bursting depend on the power (impact) applied through the bursting process, and therefore on the size and type of the existing pipe, and the degree of upsizing. While ground vibrations may be quite noticeable on the surface close to a bursting operation, the levels of vibrations are very unlikely to be damaging except at very close distances to the bursting operation.

Existing Pipe

Material of Existing Pipes

Existing pipe types are most commonly classified as either "fracturable" or "non-fracturable" which characterizes the way they are "burst" or "split." Pipes made of most materials common to water, sewer, and gas construction since the late 1800s are able to be burst however each type will have special considerations in regards to the method and specific tooling required to properly break and expand the fractured pipe.

Fracturable Pipes include cast iron (CI), clay VCP), concrete CP), asbestos cement (AC), and others.

Non-Fracturable Pipes include ductile iron (DI), steel, galvanized iron, HDPE, PVC, and others.

Common types of pipe and their bursting characteristics are indicated below:


- **Clay Pipes** in diameters 4" to 42" commonly used in sewers and other utilities and are good candidates for bursting. They are brittle and fracture easily.
- **Plain Concrete Pipes** have been used in all types of utilities construction and are good candidates for bursting. They are relatively brittle and tend to fracture easily in tension especially when in a deteriorated condition. Thick plain concrete or reinforced encasements or repairs to the pipe may cause difficulty in bursting.
- **Cast Iron Pipes** have been used in all types of utilities construction until the 1970-1980's and are good candidates for bursting. The pipes are relatively brittle even when in good condition. Ductile repair clamps, service saddles and fittings are common in CI piping systems and current pipe bursting technology can easily burst through them with proper

planning, defining the specific class of the CI pipe is helpful in determining the actual designed wall thickness of the pipe and the bell end.

- **Ductile Iron and Steel Pipes** are good candidates for pipe bursting. They are strong and ductile, yet may be replaced using roller blade cutting assemblies. Past guidelines refer to this as "pipe splitting".
- **PVC, MDPE, HDPE and Other Plastic Pipes** are good candidates for pipe bursting and may be replaced using a combination of bursting and splitting techniques designed accordingly to the strength and ductility of the existing pipe. Determining the actual ID and OD as well as SDR of the existing pipe is critical to proper sizing of the bursting tool.
- **Asbestos Cement Pipes** are generally good candidates for bursting. Care should be taken to determine the class of the existing pipe. Modifications to standard bursting heads should include cutter blades to split the pipe. The utility owner is cautioned to check with federal, state, and local standards in regards to handling and disposal of AC pipe and any associated permitting required. *Transite®* was a trade name for pipe manufactured using asbestos and cement materials.
- **Pitch Fiber Pipes (Orangeburg)** were used from the late 1860's through the 1970's in sizes ranging from 2" to 18" and are good candidates for pipe bursting as they are easily fractured and expanded. Pitch fiber pipes are very common in residential lateral sewer construction and failures or often seen as an out of round pipe profile as the pipe loses structural integrity and tree roots infiltrate the joints.
- **Corrugated Metal and Plastic Pipes** – are generally not good candidates for traditional pipe bursting approaches however advancements in technology and combination of traditional pipe bursting equipment with other means is constantly expanding the use of pipe bursting for corrugated pipes.
- **Wooden Pipes and Conduits** – pipes manufactured from wooden logs bored lengthwise are becoming much less common however they do exist within regions with piping systems' dating to the 1800s and are good candidates for pipe bursting.
- **Brick Pipe** - are generally considered Class D or developmental; however, successful pipe bursting installations have been completed to date. Considerations must be given to the type and size of the pipe as well as the number of brick layers that make up the entire pipe thickness.

Depth and Profile

The depth of the host pipe affects the expansion of surrounding soil; this is often referred to as the "depth of cover" (DOC) and is the distance measured from the crown of the existing pipe to the finished surface.



Typical calculation used to determine potential "impact zone" in relation to ground displacement based on Depth of Cover. (This will vary dependent on actual conditions and is used as a general description.)

$$\text{Expander Head OD} - \text{Existing Pipe ID} \times 12" = \text{Calculated Minimum Depth of Cover}$$

The existing pipes depth is a critical design consideration for several reasons. Ground conditions tend to vary as increased depths are encountered. Existing variables can affect pipe bursting in regards to depth including but not limited to; ground expansion difficulty and direction, soil density and mass, receiving and insertion point complexity and cost, insertion point size/length. More particular depth considerations apply directly to the anticipated burst forces required. These can be affected by the radial pressure applied to the new pipe if the soil void collapses (which can be estimated using the weight of soil forming the prism above pipe). The total force perpendicular to the pipe axis combined with the coefficient of friction between the pipe and the soil determines the frictional resistance against movement of the pipe which must be added to the force required to fracture the existing pipe and displace the pipe fragments and soil outwards.

A pipeline's original profile is an essential condition to determining practicality and method of replacement, pipe bursting follows the existing pipes original path through the ground or simply the path of least resistance. If a host pipe has variances in elevation beyond acceptable ranges particular attention must be given to specific variables such as; original trench design, burial depth, soil type, water table elevation, anticipated installation technique, anticipated burst forces and especially the acceptable elevation variance itself. In some cases short length elevation variances can be eliminated or reduced during pipe bursting operations, yet longer elevation variances tend to remain upon completion of pipe bursting in most situations. Individual situations with experience and site specific conditions can provide rather accurate hypothesis in regards to profile corrections.

Surrounding Utilities

Surrounding utilities can affect the location of insertion and reception pits. As with any trenchless construction method, utilities that interfere with or may be damaged by the burst should be located and exposed prior to the burst.

Other Factors

While not common, occasionally pipe bursting takes place through horizontal or vertical curves. Pipe bursting through pipes that have been laid in gradual radial curves has been successful using both static (rod) and pneumatic systems, careful consideration of methodology is required. It is a critical design and execution consideration to plan for the anticipated radius of the curve and reflect it in the construction plan, bend radius of the pipe bursting pull system (rod or cable) must be considered. Mechanical bends such as 11.25°, 22.5°, 45°, or 90° must be identified prior to the pipe bursting operation as they are not commonly able to be burst through.

The repair history of the line(s) to be burst should be noted carefully from utility records or video inspection. Repairs may involve heavy repair clamps and/or concrete encasements that can halt a bursting operation. With proper project planning, repair clamps can be successfully burst using a cutting blade in combination with the bursting head.

Obstructions in the pipe such as heavy solids build up, heavy root intrusion where the root mass may encapsulate the outside of the pipe, dropped joint, protruding service tap or collapsed pipe, may prevent the pipe bursting operation completely. If the obstruction cannot be removed from the pipeline by conventional cleaning equipment, it may be necessary to excavate and carry out point repair prior to bursting. Otherwise, the bursting process may slow or stop requiring remedial action.

Replacement Pipe

Thermoplastic pipe systems that are joined by thermal butt-fusion are currently the most popular for use in pipe bursting applications. These systems provide a monolithic pipe length, with fully restrained, gasketless joints that have the same material properties as the pipe itself. When compared to the pipe itself, these joints are characterized by excellent pull force capability, full pressure rating, and zero leakage potential. There are two thermoplastic materials currently offered with this joining system; High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) pipe and Fusible Polyvinylchloride Pipe (FPVCP). Both systems are generally assembled from lengths of pipe (typically 40 to 50 feet long) shipped to the project site. These lengths are joined into the required strings of pipe for each pipe bursting run required. The pipe is then inserted in a monolithic length through an insertion pit and through the alignment to complete the burst.

HDPE pipe has been used for installation by pipe bursting for some time. The main advantages of HDPE pipe are its continuity, flexibility, and versatility. The continuity is obtained by the thermal butt-fusion joining methodology and reduces the likelihood of needing to interrupt the bursting process. The flexibility allows bending of the pipe for angled insertion in the field, and it can achieve tighter bend radii than FPVCP. HDPE's versatility means that it meets most requirements for gas, water, and wastewater pipeline applications. HDPE pipe is available in IPS (Iron Pipe Size) and DIPS (Ductile Iron Pipe Size) predominantly. There are various industry standards that guide the manufacture of HDPE pipe. The requirement for actual Inner Diameter (ID) or flow area and pressure rating should be clearly outlined in the specifications.

FPVCP is another widely used pipe system that is continuous and can be pulled in longer lengths. The main advantages of the FPVCP system are its high tensile strength, scratch resistance, and use of common waterworks fittings. PVC has a high tensile strength, allowing thinner walls and smaller outer diameter and/or larger internal flow area when compared to

HDPE pipe, resulting in a smaller expansion necessary to accommodate the new pipe during the bursting process. The most popular sizing convention for FPVCP is DIPS but other sizing conventions and standards are available. There are various industry standards that guide the manufacture of PVC pipe. Like HDPE, the requirement for actual ID or flow area and pressure rating should be clearly outlined in the specifications.

With special consideration and requirements a segmental pipe with mechanical locking design joints is a possible alternative if there is insufficient space to fuse and string a continuous length of pipe or if design requirements deem it necessary. Segmental pipes with mechanical couplings made of PVC, Ductile Iron (DI), Clay Pipe (VCP), Concrete (CP), Polymer Concrete Pipe (PCP) and others are available for installation by pipe bursting without the need for an additional pipe restraint system; however the bursting head and the pipe installation technique will require modification in order to use these materials to burst successfully. Additionally, the burst head needs to be sized for the greatest Outer Diameter (OD) which is most commonly the OD of the mechanical locking joint. Therefore, by burst and upsize definition a host pipe of 8" being replaced with a new 8" mechanical joint with a 12" bell will require a substantial upsize as the burst head expansion must allow ample clearance for the 12" bell.

Compressive joints without a restraint mechanism to carry longitudinal tensile load may be utilized by an altered bursting process and specific equipment. A sectional rod is passed from the bursting head through the replacement pipe and these rods are used to clamp the replacement pipe in compression and to allow the replacement pipe string to be pulled from the rear of the pipe string rather than from the front. The installation is slowed because the pipe sections must be added during the bursting operation and the pulling arrangement readjusted for each pipe section added.

Construction Considerations

Typical Construction Process

Pipe bursting is typically performed in the following steps; however these vary by type of utility to be replaced as well as pipe bursting method selected, it is essential to include the entire project team in all aspects of the construction process whenever possible.

Planning Phase

- 1) Background Assessment
- 2) Screening of methods
- 3) Data Collection
- 4) Evaluation and Selection of Method of Construction

Pre-Design

- 1) Land Use Survey and Permit Requirements
- 2) Collection and Review of "as build" Drawings
- 3) Review of Site Conditions and Surface Survey
- 4) CCTV or other Survey of Existing Pipeline Condition, Line, and Grade

- 5) Subsurface Survey
- 6) Utility Locating
- 7) Subsurface Utility Engineering - SUE is generally based on the ASCE standard, "Standard guideline for the collection and depiction of existing subsurface utility data."
- 8) Environmental Impacts and Benefits
- 9) Social Impacts and Benefits
- 10) By-Pass or Temporary Service Plan
- 11) Selection of New Pipe Material to be Installed
- 12) Develop Bid Documents

Bidding

- 1) Pre-Bid Meeting and RFQ
- 2) Qualification of Contractors
- 3) Selection of Contractor
- 4) Notice of Award and Execute Contract Documents

Pre-Construction

- 1) Pre-Construction Meeting with Stakeholders
- 2) Review Contingency Planning and Accuracy and Tolerances
- 3) Job Site Logistics and Layout
- 4) Methods for reconnection of Service Lines
- 5) Location of all Excavations and Pits required for Pipe Bursting
- 6) By-Pass or Temporary Service for Existing Utility
- 7) Dewatering Plan
- 8) Site Safety Plan
- 9) Schedule of work and definition of work hours

Construction

- 1) One-Call markouts of utilities
- 2) Confirmation of utilities location and depth
- 3) Mobilization of equipment to site
- 4) Pre-Construction video of site
- 5) Site safety review with work crews
- 6) Setup traffic control as required in the MUTCD
- 7) Delivery of new pipe materials
 - a. For continuous pipe installations like HDPE or FPVC pre-fuse the pipe into the desired length(s).
 - b. For segmental pipe installations like DI or PVC stage the pipe near the first insertion point.
- 8) Setup temporary service piping or by-pass
- 9) Pre-Burst CCTV inspection for gravity pipelines.
- 10) Setup of insertion or receiving points as required in the construction plan
- 11) Setup of the static or pneumatic pipe bursting equipment

- 12) Prepare the existing pipe for bursting, cleaning as required
- 13) Disconnect the service laterals or connections
- 14) Optional for the Pre-Chlorinated technique for installation of water mains, the pipe is tested for pressure and disinfected prior to installation.
- 15) Burst the existing pipe, simultaneously installing the new pipe.
- 16) Allow relaxation period per pipe manufacturer recommendations
- 17) Remove pipe bursting equipment
- 18) Reconnect pipe ends, service connections, and other appurtenances.
- 19) Field testing and follow up requirements for pipe joining, pipe leakage, CCTV, disinfection, ECT, as specified.
- 20) Backfill per standards and restore jobsite


Insertion and Receiving Points Pits

There are variations in terminology used throughout the trenchless industry in regards to access points for pipe bursting. The following definitions are followed by the various names that are commonly used, throughout the trenchless industry.

Insertion Point

An insertion point is an excavation or an existing layout condition that allows new pipe accompanied with appropriate pipe bursting tooling to be inserted into the existing pipe. Insertion point(s) are required for all types of pipe bursting, whether continuous pipe lengths or segmental lengths are utilized for the new product. Insertion points can be an existing manhole, an excavation with a specified slope that accommodates the bend radii of new continuous product, or an excavation dug square and to ample length and width for new product to be installed utilizing the cartridge load pipe insertion method (DI, PVC, HDPE and VCP). The insertion pit must be large enough to allow the pipe to be inserted. For continuous pipes, this means that the pipe must be able to be fed from the surface into the existing pipe alignment without overstressing the pipe in bending. Manufacturers' guidelines on minimum bending radius need to be closely adhered to. The bend radius is the minimum required arc length of a pipe to safely accomplish a 90° turn.

Insertion points also exist on some projects that require no preparation to insert pipe i.e. (open ditch/daylighted culvert etc.) The purpose of an Insertion Point is to simply get the new pipe and appropriate tooling into the existing pipe at the desired elevation. These points are often referred to as "pipe pits" "launch pits" "insertion pits"



Sample of Common Insertion Pit Configuration for Continuous Pipe Installation

(Shows details for HDPE Insertion as reference)

Receiving Point

A reception point is an excavation or an existing layout condition that allows the new pipe and the appropriate associated tooling to be received and also allows the pipe burst tooling to be removed. Receiving Points are also the access points for the machinery as well as the bracing necessary to apply the pulling loads during pipe bursting operations, whether a Static operation or Pneumatic operation. Receiving points vary greatly depending on project specifics, and will vary in size depending on pipe bursting equipment used. With pneumatic pipe bursting manholes or access vaults are often used as receiving points for pipes with an OD of 8”- 12”, and receiving pits are typically excavations for product pipes larger than 12”. The purpose of a receiving point is to simply pull the pipe, access the new pipe end and retrieve all associated tooling and equipment. For all static rod and cable pull machines, the machine should be properly braced to resist the horizontal force necessary for the bursting operation. This may require the pit or manhole wall to have a thrust block with proper structural capabilities. Inadequate structural capacity of the pit wall or thrust block to resist the pull/push forces can cause wall deformation or failure and surface heave near the wall. Different pipe bursting systems have different requirements in terms of the space required in the reception pit. Some systems may be able to operate within existing manholes and others may need to excavate a pit for the pulling frame. These points are often referred to as "pull pits" "machine pits" "receiving pits" "exit pits."

Service Connection Points

Service connections are most often made at a service pit which is an excavation or existing access point utilized to re-establish service connectivity for necessary mainline branches. These are commonly located at existing branch location and are utilized in project layout plan as receiving and insertion points when feasible to reduce excavation required. Service pits are small by nature, yet are sized adequately for installation of new branch apparatus. Common

branch types and connection type for each new product pipe vary in direct conjunction with varying lateral materials for all utilities. Service pits should be excavated prior to the pipe bursting operation and careful attention paid to the control of the line and grade as the new pipe is installed through these points. These points are often referred to as "lateral pits" or "exit pits."

Number of Pits and Length of Bursting

The location of insertion and receiving pits should be such that their number is minimized and the length of bursting is maximized consistent with the equipment available for the burst and the expected stress on the replacement pipe. The spacing of the pits shall be configured so that the "safe pulling force" (SPF) of the newly installed pipe is not exceeded.

In sewer replacement jobs, the burst length is usually from manhole to manhole. An intermediate manhole can be passed through with proper preparation. In water main replacements, the burst length is usually between service valves or spaced at the intersection of main distribution branches. Although there is not a standard burst length that fits all applications burst lengths are most common from 300-500 feet in length for mainline pipe and from 50-150 feet for lateral pipe bursting, however lengths often exceed these averages based on actual conditions and the safe pulling force of the pipe being installed. The IPBA classification rating relates the proposed burst length to the degree of difficulty that is common under most conditions.

Replacement Pipe Preparation

Replacement pipe should be handled at the jobsite in accordance with manufacturers specifications. Typical considerations are requirements for transporting the pipe to the jobsite including loading, stacking, and strapping the pipe to the transport hauler, onsite unloading, storing, and handling of the pipe, pulling of the pipe into the insertion pit and protecting it from damage, as well as cutting and joining of the new pipe material. The jobsite safety plan should address safe handling practices for the specific pipe type and size on the site.

Equipment Installation

When the winch and pulling cables are used to pull the bursting tool through the pipe, the winch is placed into an existing manhole structure or a reception pit, and the cable pulled through the pipe and attached to the front of the bursting unit in an insertion pit. The winch helps to ensure the directional stability in keeping the unit on the line of the existing pipe. The winch must supply sufficient cable in one continuous length so that the pull may be continuous between winching points. The winch, cable and cable drum must be provided with safety cage and supports so that it may be operated safely without injury to persons or property.

When rigid pulling rods are used instead, they are inserted from the reception pit through the existing pipe until the pipe insertion point is reached. The rods are then attached to the bursting head, and pulled through the existing pipe.

Bursting Operation

The bursting of the old pipe should be performed as a continuous action if the replacement pipe is continuous and a pneumatic system is used. It is not desired to stop a pipe bursting operation once it is underway as the annulus begins to relax and close in around the newly installed pipe. Consideration should be made for working hours and local ordinances prior to the start of work.

The bursting of the old pipe temporarily halts, when rigid rods are used in a static pipe bursting operation, for each rod sections to be removed from the pipe bursting machine at the receiving pit. In addition, when the pipe is installed in segments, the preparation of each successive pipe segment also interrupts the operation.

Reconnection of Services

The newly installed pipe is left for the manufacturer's recommended time prior to any reconnection of service lines, sealing of the annular space in the manhole wall or backfilling of the insertion pit. This period allows for pipe shrinkage due to cooling and pipe relaxation due to the tensile stresses induced in the pipe during installation.

Following the relaxation period, the annular space in the manhole wall may be sealed. Sealing is extended a minimum of 4 to 8 inches into a manhole wall in such a manner as to form a smooth, watertight joint. Ensuring a proper bond between the PVC or HDPE replacement pipe and the poured manhole wall joint is critical.

Service connections can be reconnected to the new pipe by various methods. The saddles, made of a material compatible with that of the pipe, are connected to the pipe to create a leak-free joint. Different types of fused saddles (electrofusion saddles, conventional fusion saddles) are installed in accordance with manufacturer's recommended procedures. Connection of new service laterals to the pipe also can be accomplished by materials approved by the project owner.

Manhole Preparation

In the replacement of sanitary sewer lines by pipe bursting it is common to utilize existing manhole structures as receiving points for the newly installed pipes in sizes 12" and smaller. By utilizing existing manholes the need for an excavation at the receiving point is often reduced thus allowing the pipe bursting to take place with only an insertion pit excavation.

When an existing manhole is to be reused modifications must be made to receive the new pipe and pipe bursting tool. In most cases the manhole invert will require either partial or complete

removal as well as the sidewall of the manhole must be removed around the inlet of the existing pipe to allow the OD of the expander head to enter the manhole. The use of a reversible hammer allows the expander head to be removed at the manhole and the pneumatic hammer to be reversed through the newly installed pipe and removed at the insertion pit. Upon completion of the pipe burst the manhole invert and connection to the new pipe must then be rebuilt. There are a number of methods that are used to reconnect the new pipe to the existing manhole structure dependent on the type and size of pipe installed and should be clarified in the bid documents.

In cases of large upsizes or when dealing with large diameter pipe, and where surface conditions allow, complete replacement of manholes may be the simplest and least expensive option.

Testing of the Replacement Pipe

It is most common to perform a pre-and post CCTV inspection of a gravity pipeline such as a sewer being replaced as well as a low pressure air test to confirm integrity of the piping system and its connections. A pressure test is most often performed for newly installed pressure pipes such as water or gas main, or a sanitary sewer force main. The newly installed pipe should be visibly free from defects, which may affect the integrity or strength of the pipe. For potable water installations additional disinfection and testing requirements should be followed per standards of the utility owner and the AWWA.

CCTV inspections should be carried out consistent with NASSCO PACP guidelines so that the information from region to region or by individual CCTV operator is consistent with industry standards. The CCTV inspection should show accurate location of all defects structural and hydraulic, obstructions, lateral pipes, and items of interest.

Potential Conditions Requiring Remedial Action

Pipe bursting of existing lines is not always successful, however in many cases remedial action can be taken if the project team has a true understanding of pipe bursting mechanics. Some of the potential conditions that may lead to remedial action are as follows:

A. Collapse of the existing pipe prior to pipe bursting.

Although a pre-burst inspection or evaluation of the pipe may have taken place the conditions of a failing pipeline can change rapidly. If the existing pipe either partially or completely collapses before the pipe bursting begins a relief pit or point excavation may be required to allow insertion of the pull rod or cable and/or passage of the new pipe.

B. Existing pipe is in ground different than that shown in plans.

The method of pipe bursting may need to be changed or tooling used to break the existing pipe modified based on the actual pipe type and size in the ground.

C. Surface heave or settlement.

Unacceptable heave could develop on the surface when the depth of the cover is too shallow for the proposed expansion. Large volumetric displacement of the soil due to soils with a low compressibility may cause immediate heave during installation, however these may subside over time. Settlement may occur if there is an existing void above the advancing head. Additionally, there are ground conditions where the pipe bursting will cause consolidation of the existing soils, thus causing potential for settlement in the trench line.

D. Unanticipated geometry of the existing pipe in the form of changes in direction or the curvature exceeding originally anticipated conditions.

In static pipe burst systems there is a maximum bend radius of the rod that is used to pull the bursting tool through the existing pipe. If the bend radius is exceeded damage to the rod or the pipe may occur thus halting the pipe bursting operation.

E. Line sags.

Line sags in the existing line are typically only a concern in gravity pipeline applications and may cause the new pipe to deviate from the proposed line and grade. Minor sags can be corrected by pipe bursting; however the final line and grade may follow the existing alignment. The use of a rigid pipe such as PVC may assist with the removal of minor sags however there is no method for controlling line and grade with current pipe bursting systems.

F. Excessive bursting forces.

Unanticipated changes in conditions may cause the forces required to continue forward movement to exceed the forces available by the equipment used. Excessive forces are often caused by changes in existing pipe material not originally anticipated, significant changes in soil conditions, encasements, existing pipe being installed in pipe sleeves not originally anticipated or excessive drag on the new pipe.

G. Unforeseen obstructions.

Obstructions that are unexpected and not identified in the pre-burst inspection have the potential to halt the pipe bursting operation. Possible obstructions include mechanical clamps or repair couplings not shown in the "as build" plans, concrete encasements, restraining blocks, heavy roots encapsulating the pipe, and severely off set joints.

H. Adjacent utilities within the potential impact zone.

Utilities found to be within the impact zone are often not discovered until actively involved in a pipe bursting project. If the actual location, size, type, and proximity to the pipe to be replaced is not known they could cause the pipe bursting operation to be halted while they are located or ruptured.

I. Damage to the new product pipe during installation.

The new product pipe can become damaged both before and during the pipe bursting operation and special care must be made by the field operations to prevent damage to the new pipe. Damages can occur from improper handling, exceeding the bend radius at the insertion pit, abrasion from handling or dragging above ground, exceeding the safe pull load of the pipe during installation, and from broken fragments of the existing pipe.

J. Unanticipated geotechnical conditions.

Significant changes in soil conditions over the length of pipe being replaced by pipe bursting can cause challenges and change the rate of insertion or forces required for expansion. The geotechnical report should include a cross representation of the entire work zone with particular attention given to the soils in and around the existing trench.

K. Narrow trench geometry.

Knowledge of the geometry of the original trench construction is an important consideration prior to undertaking a pipe bursting operation and selection of the pipe bursting tools. The resistance to expansion forces can add considerable forces to the operation and can cause the bursting head to displace unevenly.

L. Contaminated ground.

If soils are found that require special permitting or disposal it could affect the pipe bursting operation and schedule, there may also be a need to change pipe materials being installed as they may not be tolerant to conditions found in the ground.

M. Loss of lubricants.

If lubricants are being used to manage or reduce the drag on the newly installed pipe there is potential that the fluid may be lost into a void or cavity, or other geotechnical condition thus causing the fluid to escape from its intended annulus. This could cause excessive installation forces or exceed maximum safe pull force allowed on the pipe. Special consideration should be given on sanitary sewer installations when using lubricants where existing lateral connections are not disconnected prior to bursting as fluids may migrate up a lateral pipe to an undesired location.

N. Excessive pit wall movement during a static pull.

The pull loads required for a static installation require shoring of the face of the receiving pit. If insufficient shoring is used or the soil mechanics cannot tolerate the pull load, the operation may need to stop while modifications are made.

Green Technology

From a global aspect, we are all grappling with the effects of carbon emission and are looking towards the construction industry to adopt methods that will reduce the large quantities of fossil fuels and their emissions. Recently there has been a trend towards adapting minimally intrusive trenchless methods and equipment for the replacement of underground utilities, particularly in congested urban easements. Current carbon quantification approaches focus mainly on the effect of added emissions due to traffic delays during construction road closures. While these methods provide excellent information they, it is imperative that competing utility installation methods also be assessed to determine their “environmental friendliness.” Trenchless methods can reduce GHG (Greenhouse Gas) emissions by as much as 90% when compared to traditional open cut excavation.

Traditional open-cut methods and equipment for the installation and replacement of underground infrastructure can be highly polluting, pipe bursting offers an alternative that improves the carbon foot print of projects. Research has demonstrated that trenchless projects produce substantially fewer carbon emissions. A study conducted for the North American Society for Trenchless Technology (NASTT) by the University of Waterloo, located in Ontario, Canada, identified two ways in which a trenchless approach is more environmentally friendly. First, traffic fuel consumption is lowered through the use of No-Dig. By avoiding traffic disruptions, trenchless projects prevent the delays and detours associated with conventional underground infrastructure projects. This lowers the amount of petrol consumed, and subsequently reduces carbon emissions. Fewer traffic delays also create social benefits, increasing the livability of our cities and minimizing disruption to residents. Second, trenchless jobsites produce fewer emissions. They require minimal construction machinery and equipment as there is no need for excavation, compaction, back-filling and re-paving, which dramatically reduces fuel consumption. Also, trenchless works are typically more time efficient than open-cut alternatives, meaning that machinery is operated for shorter periods. Dr Ariaratnam compared the use of pipe bursting versus open-cut for a typical urban sewer rehabilitation project, and found that the pipe bursting took three days while open-cut took seven. The No-Dig approach, therefore, was over 50 percent more time efficient. Through these combined environmental benefits, Dr. Ariaratnam’s study found that trenchless construction methods resulted in 79 percent lower greenhouse gas emissions than open-cut pipeline installation, and can provide overall cost savings of 25 to 50 percent.

Pipe Bursting is a fundamental trenchless technology, system owners and the general public will receive the following benefits:

1. Reduced carbon emissions that include carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxide, total organic compounds, sulfur oxide and smoke and particulate matter.
2. Protection of the natural environment, trees, landscape and other natural ecosystems benefit from the less disruptive technology as compared to open cut excavation, especially in urban areas
3. Ability to utilize the existing space of the current utility and not introducing addition utility lines into an already congested underground system
4. Significantly reduced traffic interruptions

5. Reduces the amount of material excavated, reducing tipping fees and related movement of dirt as soil is often contaminated, requiring special and costly disposal. In addition, water or rain during open cut construction can cause soil erosion and run off, polluting streams, rivers, and sewers. Pipe bursting provides a minimal surface disruption avoiding these environmental pitfalls
6. No-Dig also protects natural environments – trees and root systems are usually unaffected, while it also avoids disturbing the habitat of local fauna. On pipeline projects, pipe bursting can also be used to preserve fragile ecosystems such as coastal areas and wetlands, avoiding the disruption and damage caused by excavation.
7. Jobsites are also free of the dust caused by excavation, which can create air pollution and have a detrimental effect on the health of workers and residents.

Conclusions

Pipe bursting is a mature technology with a proven history for trenchless replacement of existing pipes. As the life cycle of the existing underground infrastructure expires and failures occur at an alarming rate, pipe bursting is one of the methods that will be used to effectively to provide long term service for critical utilities that are essential to public life and health. As the only trenchless method that can increase the size of the existing pipe, pipe bursting is suited well to a growing need for additional capacity whether it be in the sewer, water, gas, or other utility market sectors. With an increased public awareness and limited funding available for critical infrastructure rehabilitation it is necessary that we utilize methods that offer reduced social disruption, reduced environmental impact, prepare for future capacity needs while leveraging technology that provides a better product both short and long-term.

As with any successful construction project, pipe bursting projects require good preplanning, careful observation of job progress and key monitored variables during construction, which will result in a good installation providing additional capacity and services to the owner and community for a multitude of years.

References

U.S. Army Corp. of Engineers. Engineering and Research Development Center (ERDC) “Guidelines for Pipe Bursting” TTC Technical Report #2001.02 Jadranka Simicevic and Raymond L. Sterling. March 2001

NASSCO - Guideline Specification for the Replacement of Mainline Sewer Pipes by Pipe Bursting – 2004

NASTT Pipe Bursting Good Practices Guidelines Manual R. David Bennett, Ph.D., Salam Khan, M.S., and Samuel T. Ariaratnam, Ph.D. – 2011 Second Edition

PPI Guideline – Second edition handbook of PE pipe – Chapter 16 “pipe bursting”

ASCE “Pipe Bursting Projects” No. 112 2007 Mohammad Najafi Ph.D., P.E.

UCT 2009, 2010, 2011 IPBA Track “Pipe Bursting a View from the Field” Collins Orton, Kent Von Aspern, David O'Sullivan, Tod Grafenauer, Alan Goodman, Eric Nicholson, Ernie Hamilton, Terry Moy, Eddie Ward, Gerhard Muenchmeyer, Brian Metcalf, Richard (Bo) Botteicher, Karla King, Matt Timberlake, John Gulzow, George Mallakis, John Draper, Glen Ghilotti, Troy Freed, Andy Mayer, Piero Salvo, David Harris, Brent Johnson, Mike Queen, Michael Rocco

ICUEE 2011 "Pipe Bursting, A Revolution for telecom, electric, gas, and water" UCT Track - IPBA Education Committee Brian Metcalf, George Mallakis, Michael Woodcock, Matt Timberlake, and Andy Meyer

Underground Construction Magazine - IPBA Technical Series May 2011-February 2012 - IPBA Marketing and Education Committee – Matt Timberlake, Andy Mayer, Shawn Ready

ASCE Trenchless Installation of Pipelines (TIPS) Committee “ASCE Manual of Practice for Pipe Bursting Projects”

"The effect of pipe bursting on nearby utilities, pavement, and structures." by Trenchless Technology Center, Alan Atalah February 1998

"Design and Application issues for Pipe Bursting." Ray Sterling Ph.D., P.E., Alan Atalah Ph.D., P.E., and Paul Hadala Ph.D., P.E. 1999

British Gas "Observations and assessment of the disturbance caused by displacement methods of trenchless construction" G. Leach and K. Reed. No-Dig London April 1989

Trenchless International – “Calculating airborne emissions in underground utility projects” Dr. Samuel Ariaratnam October 2009 and “Greening the Globe with Trenchless” Anne Rees January 2011

“Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions is Smart Business, Good for the Environment, and Soon to be Legislated” – NASTT BC – www.nasttghcalculator.com

